Role of Small Pd Ensembles in Boosting CO Oxidation in AuPd Alloys
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ABSTRACT: We present a theoretical explanation on how PdAu alloy catalysts can enhance the oxidation of CO molecules based on density functional theory calculations of CO adsorption and oxidation on AuPd/Pd(111) surfaces. Our study suggests that the enhanced activity is largely attributed to the possible existence of “partially-poisoned” Pd ensembles that accommodate fewer CO molecules than Pd atoms. Whereas the oxidation of preadsorbed CO is likely governed by O2 trapping, our study shows that small Pd ensembles such as dimers and compact trimers tend to provide more active sites than larger ensembles; CO adsorbed on a Pd monomer is found to react hardly with O2 to form CO2. In addition, we find the tendency of CO-induced Pd agglomeration, which may in turn facilitate CO oxidation by creating more dimers and compact trimers as compared with the adsorbate-free surface where monomers are likely prevailing.

SECTION: Surfaces, Interfaces, Catalysis

Many noble metal-based catalysts are susceptible to activity loss due to carbon monoxide (CO) poisoning, in which CO molecules tightly bind to active sites.1–5 In particular, CO poisoning of platinum (Pt)-based electrocatalysts in proton exchange membrane fuel cells is a serious problem, which has drawn much interest in developing CO-tolerant non-Pt catalysts. Palladium (Pd) is considered to be a viable alternative to Pt because it is more abundant and less expensive and also has a higher CO tolerance, in addition to showing similar catalytic behavior and long-term durability in acidic media. Furthermore, a series of recent studies1,2,6,7 has provided evidence that alloying Pd with other metals can lead to considerable enhancements in catalytic activity and CO tolerance.

For instance, alloys of Pd with gold (Pd–Au) have been found to exhibit a greater resistance to CO poisoning than pure Pd. Whereas the CO-tolerance mechanism is still unclear, in oxidation catalysis we think it is possible that CO poisoning can be mitigated through the catalytic oxidation of CO to CO2. Pd–Au alloy catalysts have been recently demonstrated to boost CO oxidation even at low temperatures.2 For Pd–Au catalysts, the surface distribution of Pd and Au atoms likely plays a critical role in determining their catalytic properties. Recent studies have suggested that contiguous Pd atoms are necessary for CO oxidation,6 whereas isolated Pd atoms are mainly responsible for the observed high selectivity in the direct H2O2 synthesis.9

In this Letter, we present a first-principles study of Pd ensemble size and shape on the surface activity of AuPd/Pd(111) toward the oxidation of preadsorbed CO with O2. We first examine the adsorption structure and energetics of CO on various Pd ensembles and then the trapping and activation of O2 in the vicinity of preadsorbed CO, followed by calculations of the pathways and barriers for the reaction between coadsorbed CO and O2. Our study unequivocally demonstrates that the CO + O2 reaction is very sensitive to the arrangement of Pd surface atoms; small Pd ensembles such as dimers and compact trimers are expected to provide more active sites than larger ensembles, whereas Pd monomers are highly unlikely to catalyze the CO oxidation reaction. We also discuss how CO adsorption may affect the distribution of Pd ensembles and consequently the catalytic oxidation of CO with O2.

The calculations reported herein were performed on the basis of spin polarized density functional theory (DFT) within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA-PW91),10 as implemented in the Vienna ab-initio simulation package (VASP).11 The projector-augmented wave (PAW) method12 with a planewave basis set was employed to describe the interaction between core and valence electrons. The valence configurations employed to construct the ionic pseudopotentials are: 5d10 6s1 for Au, 4d9 5s1 for Pd, 2s2 2p4 for C, and 2s2 2p4 for O. An energy cutoff of 350 eV was applied for the planewave expansion of the electronic eigenfunctions.

For a model surface, we used a supercell slab that consists of a rectangular 2√3 x 4 surface unit cell with four atomic layers, each of which contains 16 atoms. As illustrated in Figure 1, the bottom three layers are pure Pd, and the topmost layer is a...
PdAu alloy that contains one of the small Pd ensembles examined: monomer (indicated by M throughout the paper), dimer (D), linear trimer (TRL), compact trimer (TRC), linear tetramer (TEL), and compact tetramer (TEC). The slab is separated from its periodic images in the vertical direction by a vacuum space corresponding to seven atomic layers. Whereas the bottom two layers of the four-layer slab were fixed at Pd bulk positions, the upper two layers were fully relaxed until residual forces on all constituent atoms became smaller than $5 \times 10^{-2}$ eV/Å. For the Brillouin zone integration, we used a $(2 \times 2 \times 1)$ Monkhorst-Pack mesh of $k$ points to determine the optimal geometries and total energies of the systems examined, and increased the $k$-point mesh size up to $(7 \times 7 \times 1)$ to refine their electronic structures. Reaction pathways and barriers were determined using the climbing-image nudged elastic band method (CI-NEB) with eight intermediate images for each reaction coordinate. The green, gray, and gold balls represent surface Pd, subsurface Pd, and Au atoms, respectively.
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Figure 1. Model PdAu surfaces considered in this work, Pd monomer (indicated as M), dimer (D), compact trimer (TRC), linear trimer (TRL), compact tetramer (TEC), and linear tetramer (TEL). The green, gray, and gold balls represent surface Pd, subsurface Pd, and Au atoms, respectively.

CO per Pd atom for different Pd ensembles. Here the adsorption energy of the $n$th molecule to adsorb on a Pd ensemble $[E_{ad}(n)]$ is given by: $E_{ad}(n) = -[E_{ad}(nCO) - E_{ad}((n-1)CO) - E(CO)]$, where $E_{ad}(nCO)$ and $E(CO)$ are the total energies of the most stable $n$ absorbed Pd ensemble and the gas-phase CO, respectively. The adsorption energies of a single CO molecule are predicted to be 1.12 eV [M(T)], 1.39 eV [D(B)], 1.42 eV [TRL(B)], 1.79 eV [TRC(H)], 1.42 eV [TEL(B)], and 1.82 eV [TEC(H)]. Note that the adsorption energies follow the same trend in site-dependence exhibited by the pure Pd(111) surface, $T < B < H$; the H sites (on TRC and TEL) host sites of all three types. Furthermore, the two H-site adsorption energies are almost identical, whereas the three B-site energies fall within a range of only $~0.1$ eV. The results clearly indicate that the CO adsorption strength is mainly determined by whether the Pd ensembles, by virtue of their size and shape, host H, B, or only T sites.

As the CO coverage increases, the adsorption energies are seen to decline. This is partially because of the gradual lack of favorable adsorption sites; that is, an energy penalty must be spontaneously "stand up" to assume an on-top orientation on the Pd atom. For these reasons, we considered only T, B, and H sites in the AuPd surface alloy, which are fully coordinated by Pd. Owing to differences in their sizes and shapes, every Pd ensemble in the AuPd(111) surface hosts a different assortment of T, B, and H sites that meet this criterion. The monomer, which is completely surrounded by its six Au neighbors, provides only an on-top site. The dimer (D), linear trimer (TRC), and compact tetramer (TEC) contain not only on-top sites but also bridge sites between their adjacent Pd atoms. The compact trimer (TRC) and compact tetramer (TEC) host sites of all three types.

Figure 2 shows the minimum-energy CO adsorption configurations with increasing degrees of coverage up to one
paid to rearrange already-absorbed CO to less favorable absorption sites to accommodate the addition of more CO. For example, CO absorbed to the H site on TR$_C$ must shift to a less favorable B site to permit a second adsorption. CO–CO and CO–surface–CO interactions also affect the CO adsorption strength but turn out to be less important than the adsorption site dependence. On the basis of the configurations, we also calculated activation barriers for adsorption and desorption at each level of CO coverage. As shown in Figure 2, in all cases, desorption is a thermally activated process with large enthalpy of activation. Adsorption occurs almost spontaneously when it does not require substantial rearrangement of already-adsorbed CO but only by overcoming a considerable barrier when it does.

Using the Arrhenius equation, we estimated rate constants from the activation barriers in Figure 2, which we then used to approximate the CO coverage on each type of ensemble. Details of this calculation are included in the Supporting Information. The upshot is that under many experimentally and technologically relevant conditions, a significant fraction of Pd ensembles may be only “partially-poisoned”. An ensemble is partially poisoned if it has at least one adsorbed CO molecule but fewer than the full number that the ensemble can accommodate. A partially poisoned dimer, for example, has exactly one adsorbed CO, whereas a partially poisoned tetramer may have one, two, or three. The presence of partially poisoned Pd ensembles is significant because in LH-type mechanisms, reaction is preceded by coadsorption of the reactants. O$_2$ is expected to undergo desorption easily (with no significant barrier) from sites near fully poisoned Pd ensembles without reaction with CO.

Figure 3 illustrates the oxidation mechanism of preadsorbed CO that we considered. It consists of three steps: (A) O$_2$ adsorption, (B) OOCO formation, and (C) CO$_2$ formation/desorption. The reaction is expected to proceed in this order. In step (A), O$_2$ must be trapped on or near a CO-preadsorbed Pd ensemble to react successfully with a neighboring CO molecule. However, we find that desorption of O$_2$ from surface sites near poisoned Pd monomers is an almost nonactivated, downhill process (i.e., O$_2$ desorption barrier $\sim 0.01$ eV), implying that O$_2$ residence time on the surface near this ensemble may be too short for CO oxidation to occur. This would be consistent with a recent experimental observation$^{18}$ that isolated Pd sites are unlikely to catalyze O$_2$ dissociation and CO oxidation. Moreover, the facility with which O$_2$ is expected to desorb from sites adjacent to fully poisoned Pd monomers (by definition, the monomer cannot be partially poisoned) is characteristic of all of the fully poisoned Pd ensembles that we examined. Near partially poisoned Pd ensembles, sizable O$_2$ desorption barriers in the range of 0.06 to 0.23 eV are expected to exist; this suggests that these ensembles are capable of trapping O$_2$ for reaction with neighboring CO. In addition, our calculations show that the barrier for O$_2$ adsorption may also be a function of ensemble size and shape; the predicted adsorption barriers are 0.32 eV(D), 0.35 eV(TR$_C$), 0.50 eV(TR$_L$), 0.44 eV(TE$_C$), and 0.70 eV(TE$_L$) (Table 1). In view of the likely importance of trapped O$_2$ in CO oxidation, dimers and compact trimers are expected to provide more active sites than the other ensembles we examined because they have the lowest adsorption and highest desorption barriers for O$_2$.

In steps (B) [O$_2$ + CO $\rightarrow$ OOCO] and (C) [OOCO $\rightarrow$ CO$_2$ + O], CO$_2$ production occurs through a metastable OOCO intermediate that dissociates into CO$_2$ and O. A similar mechanism has been proposed for CO oxidation on pure Pd(111) and other metal surfaces (Au-based metal surfaces)$^{19}$ The OOCO formation reaction [(B)] is predicted to be exothermic by 0.15 to 0.50 eV with activation barriers of 0.15 to 0.27 eV, depending on the ensemble. According to our calculations, dissociation of OOCO into CO$_2$ and O exhibits only moderate barriers (0.18 to 0.32 eV) and very high exothermicities (2.02 to 2.46 eV) and so could occur rather easily at elevated temperatures; note that the O–O bond in OOCO is considerably stretched nearly to the peroxide state ($\sim 1.47$ Å in O$_2$)$^{20}$. These results reinforce the importance of O$_2$ adsorption and activation. Once O$_2$ is activated on a CO-preadsorbed Pd ensemble, the subsequent reactions [(B) and (C)] can readily take place. The atomic O generated in step (C) of the reaction mechanism may also go on to participate in an oxidation reaction with adsorbed CO. The predicted activation barrier of this reaction on the AuPd surface is 0.34 to 0.68 eV, depending on the ensemble.

Our study suggests that CO oxidation on AuPd catalysts may strongly depend on Pd ensemble size and shape; given this, it is

| Table 1. Calculated Total Energy Changes ($\Delta E$) and Activation Barriers ($E_a$ in parentheses) in Each Reaction Step$^a$ |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| (A) O$_2$(g) $\rightarrow$ O$_2$ | (B) O$_2$ + CO $\rightarrow$ OOCO | (C) OOCO $\rightarrow$ CO$_2$ + O |
| M | 0.47 (0.48) | (N/A)$^b$ | (N/A)$^b$ |
| D | 0.11 (0.32) | $-0.15$ (0.25) | $-2.39$ (0.18) |
| TR$_C$ | 0.15 (0.35) | $-0.22$ (0.26) | $-2.46$ (0.26) |
| TR$_L$ | 0.27 (0.50) | $-0.31$ (0.17) | $-2.38$ (0.25) |
| TE$_C$ | 0.38 (0.44) | $-0.40$ (0.27) | $-2.38$ (0.25) |
| TE$_L$ | 0.49 (0.70) | $-0.50$ (0.16) | $-2.02$ (0.32) |

$^a$All energy values are given in electronvolts. $^b$O$_2$ at the monomer site spontaneously desorbs off the surface without reacting with CO.
important to understand the distribution of Pd ensembles in the surface layer. In the absence of adsorbates, Pd atoms tend to exist as isolated monomers surrounded by Au atoms, especially at low Pd coverage. However, CO can also induce surface segregation due to the large differences in the strength of CO binding to various metals. As we have seen, on the AuPd surface, CO preferentially binds to sites with higher Pd coordination (i.e., the order of CO binding strength is H > B > T, as shown in Figure 2). This site preference may under certain conditions provide a thermodynamic driving force for Pd agglomeration that counteracts the preference for monomers in the adsorbate-free surface.

Figure 4 compares the agglomeration energies of small Pd ensembles with and without a single adsorbed CO molecule.

Without adsorbed CO, the formation energies (on a per Pd atom basis) of the pictured Pd ensembles are seen to grow with ensemble size and also to be higher for more compact shapes, consistent with the fact that the Au–Pd interaction is energetically more favorable than the Pd–Pd interaction. When CO is added, portions of this trend are reversed. The two tetratomers retain their positive agglomeration energies, indicating instability against division into smaller ensembles, but the agglomeration energies of D, TRC, and TRR all become negative, with the H site in TRC pushing its formation energy below that of TRR. Admittedly, the CO-induced surface restructuring of AuPd alloy nanoparticles used in actual catalytic processes is far more complex than presented here.

In summary, DFT-GGA calculations were performed to examine the adsorption and oxidation of CO molecules on AuPd(111) alloy surfaces, particularly the effect of Pd ensemble size and shape. Our calculations demonstrate that CO predominantly adsorbs onto Pd atoms, and the adsorption energy is a strong function of CO coverage and Pd ensemble size and shape. This is mainly because of the strong dependence of CO adsorption strength on adsorption site type [hollow (H) > bridge (B) > on-top (T)]; that is, every Pd ensemble hosts a different assortment of T, B, and H sites due to differences in their sizes and shapes as well as CO coverage. Whereas the CO adsorption energy decreases with increasing coverage because of the gradual lack of favorable adsorption sites, the CO adsorption isotherm approximated using the Arrhenius equation suggests a significant fraction of small Pd ensembles may be only "partially-poisoned" under many experimentally and technologically relevant conditions. The "partially-poisoned" Pd ensembles tend to provide sites capable of trapping and activating O2, leading to CO oxidation. Whereas the O2 adsorption and activation step tends to be rate-controlling and governs the oxidation process, our study suggests that dimers and compact trimers would provide more active sites than the other ensembles we examined.

Our calculations also demonstrate that the presence of CO could induce Pd agglomeration and yield more dimers and compact trimers compared to the adsorbate-free surface where monomers are prevailing, which may in turn facilitate CO oxidation. The mechanistic findings offer a plausible explanation on how Pd–Au alloy catalysts boost CO oxidation even at low temperatures and also lead us to speculate that CO removal through oxidation may be one mechanism by which AuPd alloys resist CO poisoning.
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